	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/646,811	TRINKIES ET AL.
	Examin r	Art Unit
	Carlos Lopez	1731
All Participants:	Status of Application: Pending.	
(1) <u>Carlos Lopez</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>James Cole</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 October 2003	Time: <u>10am</u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 28,33,37,39, and 50		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Siç	anature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was noted to applicant that the above claims did not have a structural relationship with the claimed automated cigarette maker. In order to thus provide a structural relationship, the above claims where amended as follows: In claim 28 at line2, after "further comprising a tube conveyor", - - , for supplying tubes to the automated cigarette maker, - - was inserted.

In claim 33 at line 2, "further comprising a holder mechanism comprising" was deleted and - - wherein said tube rod compression mechanism further comprises a holder mechanism having- - was inserted.

In claim 37 at line 2, "further comprising a tube hopper wherein said tube hopper" was deleted and, - - further comprising a tube hopper, for supplying tubes to the cigarette maker, - - was inserted.

In claim 39 at line 1-2, "including tobacco rod shaping mechanism as set forth in claim 10 further comprising a tappet," was deleted and - - as set forth in claim 10, wherein said rod shaping mechanism further comprises a tappet- - was inserted.

In claim 50 line 2, after "a tube conveyor", - - for supplying tubes to the cigarette maker- - was inserted..